



DARK WINTER

HOW THE SUN IS CAUSING
A 30-YEAR COLD SPELL

JOHN L. CASEY

Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell

John Casey

[Download now](#)

[Read Online ➔](#)

Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell

John Casey

Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell John Casey

Climate change has been a perplexing problem for years. In *Dark Winter*, author John L. Casey, a former White House national space policy advisor, NASA headquarters consultant, and space shuttle engineer tells the truth about ominous changes taking place in the climate and the Sun.

Casey's research into the Sun's activity, which began almost a decade ago, resulted in discovery of a solar cycle that is now reversing from its global warming phase to that of dangerous global cooling for the next thirty years or more. This new cold climate will dramatically impact the world's citizens. In *Dark Winter*, he provides evidence of the following:

- The end of global warming
- The beginning of a "solar hibernation," a historic reduction in the energy output of the Sun
- A long-term drop in Earth's temperatures
- The start of the next climate change to decades of dangerously cold weather
- The high probability of record earthquakes and volcanic eruptions

A sobering look at Earth's future, *Dark Winter* predicts worldwide, crop- destroying cold; food shortages and riots in the United States and abroad; significant global loss of life; and social, political, and economic upheaval.

Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell Details

Date : Published August 19th 2014 by Humanix Books

ISBN : 9781630060350

Author : John Casey

Format : Hardcover 190 pages

Genre : Science, Nonfiction



[Download Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell ...pdf](#)



[Read Online Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell ...pdf](#)

Download and Read Free Online Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell John Casey

From Reader Review Dark Winter: How the Sun Is Causing a 30-Year Cold Spell for online ebook

Jack Lockhart says

Salesman, yes. Scientist? No.

By Claudette Konola

Wednesday, February 25, 2015

I admire a man with a good business plan. John Casey might be that man. With a background in engineering (Jacksonville State University) and a master's degree in business, he has leveraged a cockamamie scientific theory, not supported by any peer-reviewed science, into a book and speaking engagements. He just scored an invitation to be the keynote speaker at our Energy Forum on Friday.

Since learning about the outrage of some local scientists at the choice of speaker, I've been trying to find out as much as possible about the man, and how he got to be invited. For a "well respected" scientist, there is little online evidence supporting that label. There is, however, lots of evidence suggesting he is an entrepreneur looking to find the path to quick riches. He is the registered agent or chief executive officer on more than 25 companies filed with the Florida Secretary of State — most of which are not currently active.

Casey's current employer, the Space and Science Research Center, has only a P.O. Box address. It is hard to imagine any meaningful research being done at a P.O. Box, with one staffer and two colleagues, one from Bulgaria. The site says that most of their funding comes from "donations" which are not tax deductible, and the sale of their publications, especially a quarterly newsletter at \$8.95 a pop.

Casey has written two books: "Dark Winter" and "Cold Sun." Using the "look inside" feature at Amazon Books, it appears it is the same book with two different publishers — at least the table of contents is identical.

The books have been promoted by the Franklin Center for Government and Public Integrity, through their network of "reporters" at WatchDog.org. Wikipedia has this to say about Franklin: "According to Internal Revenue Service records, 95% of Franklin's revenue in 2011 came from a charity called DonorsTrust. ... DonorsTrust distributed US\$86 million which ... are private gifts and used for 'funding sensitive or controversial issues,' an arrangement said to obscure the identity of the donors wishing to keep their charitable giving private. Donors- Trust's top contributors were Charles and David Koch."

According to Pulitzer Prize winning Inside Climate News, "Today the industry persists in its campaign to muddy the picture of climate change. ExxonMobil, API and the Charles Koch Foundation for years were among the largest funders of research and lobbying intended to cast doubt on the science documenting global warming." They made that statement in a current news story about Willie Soon, another climate denier who was investigated by Greenpeace. Through Freedom of Information requests, Greenpeace learned that Soon was industry funded, but failed to disclose that funding, or the agreement to allow the funding source to review and approve any publications pre-publication. Soon's theory is quite similar to Casey's: Blame it all on the sun.

In addition to claiming to be on the leading edge of climate research, Casey claims to have been a consultant to the White House, but the only evidence of that is Casey saying so in multiple press releases, and a letter he

wrote to President Obama. I write letters to President Obama, yet I can't call myself a consultant to the president, even though I'm a Democrat and I am a fan of peer-reviewed science. The only credible connection I found to any politician was to Sen. James Inhofe (R-Okla.), a fellow climate denier.

About his claim of being a consultant to NASA: it is based on work on the space shuttle as an engineer and hosting a Space Café through another of his now inactive businesses. My ex-husband was a mechanical engineer for Martin Marietta; he worked on the external tank for the Space Shuttle. Unless Casey was a very highly placed executive in a firm like Martin Marietta, there is no way he was hired to consult with NASA. Nothing I've been able to find leads me to believe that Casey ever left his neighborhood, let alone was an executive in any space organization that he didn't personally create for himself.

What I'd like to know is who made the decision to invite John L. Casey to speak at our Energy Forum. I've heard that when the planning committee met to discuss the agenda for the event, they were told that the list of speakers was already set in stone. Given that Colorado Mesa University is a host of the event, and given that it's trying to establish itself as a major university, why are college officials silent on the controversy surrounding this choice of speaker? I'd ask the same question of Colorado Mountain College and the Math and Science Center, although I've also heard that the Math and Science Center is pretty irritated that this guy was invited without any vetting in the local scientific community.

Claudette Konola believes that climate change is the greatest security threat of our time, and gets irritated with those who want to stick their heads in the sand. She blogs occasionally at <http://www.konola4colorado.com>, where comments are welcome.

Chris says

Garbage, no scientific data...urg... Waste of library time picking this up.

Kenneth Harris says

I thank him for taking the opposite view of conventional science, and putting it into words that are easy to follow and contemplate. His findings match my own study of historical events over the last 3 millennium, so I personally recommend you give it a read....

Kim says

Interesting book.

Patti says

Read and then prepare for what is to come. Research for yourself and quit believing the global warming crowd.

Jim Hunter says

An interesting alternative view of the causes of climate change. A look at the effects of the sun and solar cycles on past, present and future climate.

Dave Bernard says

So, he sees the correlation between solar activity and Earth's climate. These guys jump to forecaster mode without looking further. Your correlation does not equal causation. EYES OPEN for the confounding variable.. What is it??? I know the Milankovitch cycles are difficult to wrap one's mind around, but this is what these guys need to be doing. The Sun does not cause volcanic activity. Orbital variation causes both volcanic activity and a change in Earth's climate. Low solar activity does indeed mean more volcanic activity. But, that does not make it the cause. grrr...

Benjamin says

A must read book that exposes the attempt by liberals and their global warming agenda. Author John Casey documents evidence to the contrary. He, along with other scientists around the world, had predicted the onset of the current "solar hibernation" which is the beginning of the next phase of climate change of decades of extremely cold weather.

Jerry says

Interesting book with an important topic. His avowed purpose is to warn the world of the coming crisis of global cooling. I'm inclined to find his predictions believable, but his book struck me as more of a publicity piece for the author's business than a serious treatment of the subject.

His theory (to quote the book):

"The Theory of Relational Cycles of Solar Activity (the RC Theory)

- ◆ There exists a family of solar activity cycles that has a profound and direct influence on Earth's climate.
- ◆ These cycles are called 'Relational Cycles,' since their effects can be experienced, or related to, during one or two human lifetimes.
- ◆ There is a 'Centennial Cycle' of 90 to 100 years' duration, which manifests itself in minimum solar activity and associated low temperatures, with episodes lasting a few years to one to two decades.
- ◆ There is a 'Bicentennial Cycle' of about 200 years that is the most powerful of the Relational Cycles and has significant effects on the climate of the Earth, lasting several decades and resulting in the most extreme variations in solar activity and in Earth's temperatures.
- ◆ These cycles are correlated strongly to all past major temperature lows.
- ◆ There is remarkable regularity, and hence predictability, among these oscillations, such that the theory may be a powerful tool in forecasting major temperature and climatic cycles on Earth, many decades in advance. There may be other Relational Cycles of shorter duration accounting for lesser solar and climatic

events, which may be revealed in subsequent research.”

An alternative book I would recommend is: The Whole Story of Climate, What Science Reveals about the Nature of Endless Change by E. Kirsten Peters.

Gina says

Kind of depressing. I still have a lot of questions. Does that mean there will be no summer or just not sweltering days? Wish he would have given some examples of what to expect for the different seasons.

Gerald L Anderson says

John Casey's Dark Winter

Great Read! Provides information that our government does not want us to consider. I, for one, wonder why? Do you?

Carolyn says

Based on his research into solar cycles, particularly the 205-year sunspot cycle, the author predicts that we have entered an approximately 30-year cold spell, since the sun is in the same part of its cycles that caused the Maunder minimum and the Dalton minimum. I'm not enough of a scientist to evaluate his claims with any authority, but they sound plausible to me.

Carol says

I was disappointed on several levels. One: I expected more from a "professional" in this field. Two: there was little substance in the book and many of the "facts" about global warming were quite honestly, not facts. John did not offer any backing for the suggestion that we are in for an imminent ice age, only his speculations. His suggestions go against research done by the United States meteorological website (ironically NASA instituted). Sorry John, but global warming is real and yes, we will have another ice age, but not within the next 20 years. And if the sun deteriorates to the extent that you contend, earth will not exist at all, in any capacity.

Maria Wroblewski says

Interesting but very repetitive. Certainly worth the read because this is credible info that the left has repressed. We are being lied to, or, at the very least, we have not been given all the info we need to make an educated decision.

Carlton Brown says

Expectation - that's the problem i had for this book. Thankfully it did not take long to read. At its essence is the fact we're entering a period of low solar magnetic activity, and this 206 year cycle is supposed to be associated with severe planetary cooling. I found it hard to see the peer reviewed science backing up these solar cycle claims and their link with severe climate change. The book was scientifically weak, so now I'll now go look for the science.

Charles F. Shirley says

WOW Indeed

Mr. Casey has brought together in his RC theory all the rumbles of a coming cooling cycle that I have been hearing of for the last ten to fifteen years and laid to rest the false/wrong global warming hoax. Excellent read, witty and well documented.

Mosunflower says

This is a very interesting non-fiction book about the cycles of solar activity and what that means for earth and its inhabitants.

The news is full of warnings about global warming, but if Mr. Casey's (and other scientists') research and hypotheses are correct we are in the beginning of a cycle of global cooling, for which we would do well to prepare.

Matthew Lowe says

It confirms the real science behind climate change and exposes what we should really be concerned about. Worth the read.

Laurel Ferguson says

Global warming and cooling!

There seems to be cooling as well as warming anyway, here. The winters have been freezing even if the summers have been warmer. I think the weather has this difference and therefore the hurricanes and tornadoes. To me it is not just warming but cooling too, which is the real hazard. We need a nice cool period for 30 years, so here, we are not just wishful thinking. He is giving us proof of some cooling even with the sun at the maunder maximum.

Julie says

Interesting read that made me think about global warming versus global cooling; carbon credits; historic versus current solar cycles.

Read the book as the author recommended (reading an appendix after the intro).

I like learning about views that go 'against the flow'. It helps me realize that not everything disseminated to the world at large is the ultimate truth; there are different opinions, different truths; Each individual has to investigate in order to decide what to believe.
